F: BOOK REVIEWS

Approved For Release 2005/01/05 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000700480054-7

6 January 1960

MENCRATION FOR THE DIRECTOR

- 1. This secondom is for information only.
- 2. Herewith is General Hannell D. Taylor's, The Uncertain Truspet (Marper's) in which he calls for improvements in strategy planning and favors what he calls the strategy of "Favorable Response", as against the doctrine of "Messive Retaliation."
- 3. The book contains no references to CIA, and no criticisms of U.S. intelligence either in general, in particular agencies, or on specific substantive questions of Soviet intentions or capabilities. In one passage (pp. 80-34), in which Taylor described MBC machinery and its several advisory bodies, CIA is missing from his evidently careful listing of the various agencies which perticipate in MSC matters. In the next passage, dealing with JCS planning procedure (pp. 85-86), he does mention the fact, without comment or criticism, that the JCS regularly has an "intelligence evaluation" prepared as one of the normal comesses to its besic planning document, called the JEOF (the Joint Strategic Objectives Plan).
 - 4. Several other passages may be of interest:
- In January 1955, Taylor says that the NGC made its "first" comprehensive review of the 1953 "New Look" defense policy, including the "warning" that the U.S. needed a versatility in weapons and strategy to cope with Communist limited-aggression tectics (pp.26-27).
- b. Taylor's proposed article for the "Foreign Affairs" quarterly (for its spring 1956 issue) was abandoned, he says, because of clearance Approved For Release 2005/01/05: CIA-RDP75-00149R000700480054-7 problems in the Defense and State Departments (pp. 43-45, 181-97).



Defense said that his views were in conflict with "approved policy" and would jeopardise our international relations. State's "censor" said that his "warnings" about Communist "successes" in infiltration, subversion, and local aggression were in conflict with the U.S. "line" that the Communists had "at least temporarily abandomed" such textices "because of their failure" (p. 45).

- c. In July 1957, at an MSC meeting, Secretary of Defense Vilson "disregarded" the "general agreement" on the "estimate of probability" of Soviet intentions that the Soviet would use (1) cold-war methods, (2) military conflict short of general war, and (3) general war, in that order (p. 51).
- d. Secretary of State Dulles, in October 1557, "for the first time" publicly voiced a loss of confidence in the doctrine of "massive retaliation," in an article in "Foreign Affairs" quarterly (p. 55).
- e. In the spring of 1950, Taylor criticises as unfounded by evidence, certain "predictions" attributed by him to the USAF, that the Soviet was emphasizing its bomber-buildup rather than the ICBM program (p. 68.).
- f. Taylor offers as his "personal" estimate, today, that the Boviet will be sheed in armsments "until about 1964 ... unless heroic measures aretaken" (p. 131 ff.)
- 6. He concludes that events in Taiwan, the Middle East, Berlin, and Laos have now "verified" the warnings, predicted "for years" that the Soviet would increasingly use tectics of cold-war propocation (p. 136).